

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 09/23/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/643,551	08/22/2000	Richard C. Robertshaw	567P	9686
7	7590 09/23/2003			
Thomas M Freiburger 650 California Street 25th Floor			EXAMINER	
			VU, STEPHEN A	
San Francisco, CA 94108			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3636	-

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
· .	09/643,551	ROBERTSHAW, RICHARD C.					
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit					
	Stephen A Vu	3636					
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by str. - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	N). R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a t. reply within the statutory minimum of the riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tatute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>07 July 2003</u> .						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐	This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice uno Disp sition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 5 and 9-11 is/are pending in the a	application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5 and 9-11</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	nd/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	<u> </u>						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a							
Applicant may not request that any objection to 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in		disapproved by the examiner.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	• •						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	· ·						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eian priority under 35 U.S.C.	8 119(a)-(d) or (f)					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	oign phonty under co c.c.c.	(3) (3) (3) (4).					
1. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority docum		Application No.					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for a	priority documents have beel I Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n received in this National Stage					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	estic priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
Attachment(s)	·						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No() 5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/643,551

Art Unit: 3636

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 5 and 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Berg'323 in view of Jensen.

Berg'323 shows a chair seat and standing apparatus (12) comprising a pair of pads (16), a pair of seat platforms (17R,17L), a lower platform (15), and resilient means (31) positioned below the seat platforms and secured to the lower platform for springing action to allow for pitch and roll motions. However, it appears that the connection assembly (27) prevents the apparatus to perform yaw motions.

Jensen teaches an apparatus comprising a connection mechanism (40) and resilient means (90) positioned between an upper platform (22) and a lower platform (37), wherein the apparatus can perform pitch, roll and yaw motions (see col. 2, lines

Art Unit: 3636

25-28). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the connection mechanism (40) of Jensen's apparatus in lieu of the connection assembly (27) of Berg'323's invention, in order to allow the apparatus (12) to also perform yaw motions. This modification would allow a user to accomplish all three pitch, roll, and yaw motions on the apparatus to satisfy the user's comfort. In addition, manufacturing cost would be reduced by using fewer steps and parts to construct Berg'323's apparatus with the connection mechanism (40) of Jensen.

With claims 10-11, Berg'323 shows the resilient means (31) to have four resilient units.

Remarks

The examiner has considered the applicant's comments in the Response, filed on July 7, 2003. However, based on an updated search of the application, the examiner has decided to issue a new ground of rejection. Accordingly, this Office action is considered to be Non-final.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen A Vu whose telephone number is 703-308-1378. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8:30 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter M Cuomo can be reached on 703-308-0827. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/643,551

Art Unit: 3636

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.

Stephen Vu

September 8, 2003

Peter M. Cuomo Supervisory Patent Examiner Page 4

Technology Center 3600